

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/368,378

Applicant(s)

BOVA

Examiner

JYOTHSNA VENKAT

Group Art Unit

1931



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JYOTHSNA VENKAT

(3) _____

(2) PETER MANSO

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 19, 1999Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: AS OF RECORD

Identification of prior art discussed:

AS OF RECORD

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner informed the counsel that the application is in condition for allowance subjecting to the incorporation of " where in the dosage range is from 750 mg to about 3000 mgs " as applicants provided documentation in the related application (S.NO. 08/814,974) which showed that grater than dosage range of 500 mgs product drawn O'Neil patent caused hepataotixicity . The counsel agreed to this limitaion . The examiner called the counsel again and informed him that claim 15 is allowable subjecting to the incorporation of specific dosage ranges and requested the counsel to cancel claim 1 . The counsel did not agree with respect to claim 1 and informed the examiner to proceed with requirements of interference proceedings with respect to claim 1. The examiner called the counsel and informed him that all the claims as presented are subject to interference proceedings and informed the counsel that prosecution is suspended . The counsel agreed .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

J. Venkat
JYOTHSNA VENKAT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1931